PEER EVALUATION OF CLINICAL ENCOUNTERS

Faculty Member (print name)

	(Circle Response)	<u>Poor</u>	<u>Fair</u>	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Not Applicable	Not Observed
1.	Demonstration of patient centered model at all levels – history, PE, assessment and plan	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	N/O
2.	Timeliness and efficiency of rounds/clinic time	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	N/O
3.	Productive use of interdisciplinary team/resources	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	N/O
4.	Incorporation of guidelines and evidenced-based medicine into clinical practice	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	N/O
5.	Involvement of patients and learners in the assessment and treatment plan process	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	N/O
6.	Clarity of treatment plan developed with team	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	N/O
7.	Communication to the patient of treatment plan, available resources and follow-up	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	N/O
8.	Enthusiasm for patient care	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	N/O
9.	Awareness/review of practice specific quality indicators	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	N/O
10.	Competency in use of EMR (notes, referrals, orders)	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	N/O
11.	Professional characteristics when interacting with patients and learners (maturity, respectfulness, encouragement, humility, responsiveness to questions)	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	N/O
12.	OVERALL ASSESSMENT	1	2	3	4	5		
Stre	ngths:gestions for improvement:							
	Date		Evaluator	r				
	Setting of observation Hospital ED Out-patient clinic Surgery Non-Surgical Procedure Other:	Patier Other	nt Faculty	Family Reside	er (mark all that apply) Family Residents Students Other:			

Please review with faculty member and return to departmental administrator

Signature of Faculty Member